arXiv:1105.1008v2 [gr-qc] 31 Oct 2011

Interacting viscous entropy-corrected holographic scalar field models of dark energy with time-varying G in modified FRW cosmology

F. Adabi[1] , K. Karami[2*], F. Felegary[2], Z. Azarmi[2]
[1]Department of Physics, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran
[2]Department of Physics, University of Kurdistan, Pasdaran St., Sanandaj, Iran

January 20, 2013

Abstract

We study the entropy-corrected version of the holographic dark energy (HDE) model in the framework of modified FRW cosmology. We consider a non-flat universe filled with an interacting viscous entropy-corrected HDE (ECHDE) with dark matter. We also include the case of variable gravitational constant G in our model. We obtain the equation of state and the deceleration parameters of the interacting viscous ECHDE. Moreover, we reconstruct the potential and the dynamics of the quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton scalar field models according to the evolutionary behavior of the interacting viscous ECHDE model with time-varying G.

PACS numbers: 95.36.+x, 04.60.Pp
Key words: Dark energy; Loop quantum gravity

---

[*] E-mail: KKarami@uok.ac.ir

1 Introduction

The observed acceleration in the universe expansion rate [1] is usually attributed to the presence of an exotic kind of energy, called dark energy (DE). Although the nature and cosmological origin of DE is still enigmatic at present, a great variety of models have been proposed to describe the DE (for review see [2, 3]).

Recently, a new DE candidate, namely, the holographic DE (HDE) based on the holographic principle was proposed [4]. Following Guberina et al. [5], the HDE density can be derived from the entropy bound. In the thermodynamics of the black hole [6], there is a maximum entropy in a box of size L, namely, the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy bound $S_{\rm BH}\sim M_P^2L^2$, which scales as the area of the box $A\sim L^2$, rather than the volume $V\sim L^3$. Here M_P is the reduced Planck Mass $M_P^{-2}=8\pi G$. Also for a macroscopic system in which self-gravitation effects can be disregarded, the Bekenstein entropy bound $S_{\rm B}$ is given by the product of the energy $E\sim\rho_\Lambda L^3$ and the length scale (IR cut-off) L of the system. Here ρ_Λ is the quantum zero point energy density caused by the UV cut-off Λ. Requiring $S_{\rm B}\leq S_{\rm BH}$, namely $EL\leq M_P^2L^2$, one has $\rho_\Lambda\leq M_P^2L^{-2}$. If the largest cut-off L is taken for saturating this inequality, we get the HDE density as

$$\rho_\Lambda=3c^2M_P^2L^{-2}, \tag{1}$$

where c is a numerical constant. Recent observational data, which have been used to constrain the HDE model, show that for the non-flat universe $c=0.815^{+0.179}_{-0.139}$ [7], and for the flat case $c=0.818^{+0.113}_{-0.097}$ [8]. Li [9] showed that the cosmic coincidence problem can be resolved by inflation in the HDE model, provided the minimal number of e-foldings. The HDE models have been studied widely in the literature [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Indeed, the definition and derivation of HDE density depends on the entropy-area relationship $S_{\rm BH}=A/(4G)$, where $A\sim L^2$ is the area of horizon. However, this definition can be modified from the inclusion of quantum effects, motivated from the loop quantum gravity (LQG). These quantum corrections provided to the entropy-area relationship leads to the curvature correction in the Einstein-Hilbert action and vice versa [15]. The corrected entropy takes the form [16]

$$S_{\rm BH}=\frac{A}{4G}+\tilde{\alpha}\ln\left(\frac{A}{4G}\right)+\tilde{\beta}, \tag{2}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are dimensionless constants of order unity. The exact values of these constants are not yet determined and still an open issue in quantum gravity. These corrections arise in the black hole entropy in LQG due to thermal equilibrium fluctuations and quantum fluctuations [17]. Taking the corrected entropy-area relation (2) into account, and following the derivation of HDE (especially the one shown in [5]), the HDE density will be modified as well. On this basis, Wei [18] proposed the energy density of the so-called “entropy-corrected HDE” (ECHDE) in the form

$$\rho_\Lambda=\frac{3c^2}{8\pi GL^2}+\frac{\alpha}{L^4}\ln\left(\frac{L^2}{8\pi G}\right)+\frac{\beta}{L^4}, \tag{3}$$

where α and β are dimensionless constants of order unity. In the special case $\alpha=\beta=0$, the above equation yields the well-known HDE density (1). Since the last two terms in Eq. (3) can be comparable to the first term only when L is very small, the corrections make sense only at the early stage of the universe. When the universe becomes large, ECHDE reduces to the ordinary HDE model. The ECHDE models have arisen a lot of enthusiasm recently and have examined in ample detail by [19, 20].

Reconstructing the HDE scalar field models of DE is one of interesting issue which has been investigated in the literature [21, 22, 23]. The HDE models are originated from some considerations of the features of the quantum theory of gravity. On the other hand, the scalar field models (such as quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton) are often regarded as an effective description of an underlying theory of DE [23]. The scalar field models can mimic cosmological constant at the present epoch and can also alleviate the fine tuning and coincidence problems [24]. Therefore it becomes meaningful to reconstruct the scalar field models from some DE models possessing some significant features of the LQG theory, such as ECHDE models.

Here our aim is to investigate the correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE and scalar field models of DE such as quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton scalar fields and obtain the evolutionary form of these fields with time-varying G. There are significant indications that G can by varying, being a function of time or equivalently of the scale factor [25]. In particular, observations of Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar B1913 + 16 lead to the estimation $\dot{G}/G \sim 2 \pm 4 \times 10^{-12}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ [26, 27], while helio-seismological data provide the bound $-1.6 \times 10^{-12}\mathrm{yr}^{-1} < \dot{G}/G < 0$ [28]. Similarly, type Ia supernova observations give the best upper bound of the variation of G as $-10^{-11}\mathrm{yr}^{-1} \leq \frac{\dot{G}}{G} < 0$ at redshifts $z \simeq 0.5$ [29], while astro-seismological data from the pulsating white dwarf star G117-B15A lead to $\left|\frac{\dot{G}}{G}\right| \leq 4.10 \times 10^{-11}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ [30].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we investigate the viscous ECHDE model with time-varying G in the presence of interaction with DM and in the framework of modified FRW cosmology. In section 3, we establish a correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE with time-varying G and the quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton scalar field models of DE. Section 4 is devoted to our conclusions.

2 Interacting viscous ECHDE with time-varying G in modified FRW universe

Within the framework of the FRW metric,

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + a^2(t)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}r^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2\mathrm{d}\Omega^2\right), \tag{4}$$

for the non-flat FRW universe containing the ECHDE and dark matter (DM), the first modified Friedmann equation corresponding to the corrected entropy-area relation (2) is given by [31]

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}G}{2\pi}\left(H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_{\mathrm{m}} + \rho_\Lambda), \tag{5}$$

where $k = 0, 1, -1$ represent a flat, closed and open FRW universe, respectively. Also ρ_Λ and ρ_{m} are the energy density of ECHDE and DM, respectively. Using the following definitions

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{m}} = \frac{8\pi G\rho_{\mathrm{m}}}{3H^2}, \qquad \Omega_\Lambda = \frac{8\pi G\rho_\Lambda}{3H^2}, \qquad \Omega_k = \frac{k}{a^2H^2}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}GH^2}{2\pi}(1+\Omega_k)^2, \tag{7}$$

one can rewrite the modified Friedmann equation (5) as

$$1 + \Omega_k + \Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}} = \Omega_{\mathrm{m}} + \Omega_\Lambda. \tag{8}$$

From definition $\rho_\Lambda = 3H^2\Omega_\Lambda/(8\pi G)$ and using Eq. (3), we get

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{c^2}{L^2H^2}\gamma_c, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\gamma_c = 1 + \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2L^2}\left[\alpha \ln\left(\frac{L^2}{8\pi G}\right) + \beta\right]. \tag{10}$$

Note that to obtain an accelerating universe, following Huang and Li [32] the IR cut-off L for a non-flat universe should be defined as

$$L = a(t)\frac{\sin n(\sqrt{|k|}y)}{\sqrt{|k|}}, \tag{11}$$

where

$$\frac{\sin n(\sqrt{|k|}y)}{\sqrt{|k|}} = \begin{cases} \sin y, & k = 1, \\ y, & k = 0, \\ \sinh y, & k = -1, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

and

$$y = \frac{R_{\rm h}}{a(t)} = \int_t^\infty \frac{{\rm d}t}{a(t)} = \int_0^r \frac{{\rm d}r}{\sqrt{1-kr^2}} = \begin{cases} \sin^{-1} r, & k = 1, \\ r, & k = 0, \\ \sinh^{-1} r, & k = -1. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

Here $R_{\rm h}$ is the radial size of the event horizon measured in the r direction and L is the radius of the event horizon measured on the sphere of the horizon [32]. For a flat universe, $L = R_{\rm h}$.

Taking time derivative of Eq. (11) and using (9) yields

$$\dot{L} = \left(\frac{c^2\gamma_c}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)^{1/2} - \cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right) = \begin{cases} \cos y, & k = 1, \\ 1, & k = 0, \\ \cosh y, & k = -1. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Using Eqs. (6), (9), (11) and (12), one can rewrite Eq. (15) as

$$\cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right) = \left[1 - \Omega_k\left(\frac{c^2\gamma_c}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\right]^{1/2}. \tag{16}$$

Hence, Eq. (14) yields

$$\dot{L} = \left(\frac{c^2\gamma_c}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)^{1/2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{c^2\gamma_c} - \Omega_k\right)^{1/2}\right]. \tag{17}$$

Here, we would like to generalize our study to the case where the ECHDE model has viscosity property. In an isotropic and homogeneous modified FRW universe, the dissipative effects arise due to the presence of bulk viscosity in cosmic fluids. DE with bulk viscosity has a peculiar property to cause accelerated expansion of phantom type in the late evolution of the universe [33]. It can also alleviate several cosmological puzzles like age problem, coincidence problem and phantom crossing. The energy-momentum tensor of the viscous fluid is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho_\Lambda u_\mu u_\nu + \tilde{p}_\Lambda(g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu), \tag{18}$$

where u_μ is the four-velocity vector, $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the background metric and

$$\tilde{p}_\Lambda = p_\Lambda - 3H\xi, \tag{19}$$

is the effective pressure of DE and ξ is the bulk viscosity coefficient [34].

We further assume there is an interaction between viscous ECHDE and DM. The recent observational evidence provided by the galaxy cluster Abell A586 supports the interaction between DE and DM [35]. In the presence of interaction, ρ_Λ and $\rho_{\rm m}$ do not conserve separately and the energy conservation equations for viscous ECHDE and DM are

$$\dot{\rho}_\Lambda + 3H\rho_\Lambda(1+\omega_\Lambda) = 9H^2\xi - Q, \tag{20}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm m} + 3H\rho_{\rm m} = Q, \tag{21}$$

where $\omega_\Lambda = p_\Lambda/\rho_\Lambda$ is the equation of state (EoS) parameter of the interacting viscous ECHDE and Q stands for the interaction term. Following [36], we shall assume $Q = 3b^2H(\rho_{\rm m}+\rho_\Lambda)$ with the coupling constant b^2. This expression for the interaction term was first introduced in the study of the suitable coupling between a quintessence scalar field and a pressureless cold DM field [34, 37]. Using Eq. (8), the interaction term Q can be rewritten as

$$Q = 3b^2H\rho_\Lambda\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right). \tag{22}$$

Taking time derivative of Eq. (3) and using Eqs. (9), (10) and (17), one can obtain

$$\dot{\rho}_\Lambda = -2H\rho_\Lambda\left[2Y + \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right], \tag{23}$$

where

$$Y = 1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{c^2\gamma_c}\right)^{1/2}\cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{c^2\gamma_c} - \Omega_k\right)^{1/2}, \tag{24}$$

and the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $x = \ln a$.

Substituting Eqs. (22) and (23) in (20), using Eq. (9) and assuming $\xi = \varepsilon H^{-1}\rho_\Lambda$ [38] where ε is a constant parameter, then one can obtain the EoS parameter of the interacting viscous ECHDE as

$$\omega_\Lambda = -1 + 3\varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}Y + \frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right) - b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right). \tag{25}$$

If we set $\tilde{\alpha} = 0 = \Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $\varepsilon = \acute{G} = 0$, then Eq. (25) reduces to the EoS parameter of interacting ECHDE in Einstein gravity [20]

$$\omega_\Lambda = -1 - \frac{2Y}{3\gamma_c}\left(1 - 2\gamma_c + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right) - b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right). \tag{26}$$

Also in the absence of correction terms ($\alpha = \beta = 0$), from Eq. (10) we have $\gamma_c = 1$ and Eq. (26) recovers the EoS parameter of interacting HDE in Einstein gravity [39]

$$\omega_\Lambda = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3c}\Omega_\Lambda^{1/2}\cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right) - b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right). \tag{27}$$

Note that as we already mentioned, at the very early stage when the universe undergoes an inflation phase, the correction terms in the ECHDE density (3) become important. After the end of the inflationary phase, the universe subsequently enters in the radiation and then matter dominated eras. In these two epochs, since the universe is much larger, the entropy-corrected terms to ECHDE, namely the last two terms in Eq. (3), can be safely ignored. During the early inflation era when the correction terms make sense in the ECHDE density (3), the Hubble parameter H is constant and $a = a_0 e^{Ht}$. Hence the Hubble horizon H^{-1} and the future event horizon $R_{\rm h} = a\int_t^\infty \frac{{\rm d}t}{a}$ will coincide i.e. $R_{\rm h} = H^{-1} = {\rm const.}$ On the other hand, since an early inflation era leads to a flat universe, we have $L = R_{\rm h} = H^{-1} = {\rm const.}$ Also from Eqs. (9) and (16) we have $\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{c^2\gamma_c} = 1$ and $\cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right) = 1$, hence Eq. (24) gives $Y = 0$. Therefore, during the early inflation era, Eq. (25) reduces to

$$\omega_\Lambda = -1 + 3\varepsilon + \frac{c^2}{3\Omega_\Lambda}\frac{\acute{G}}{G}\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2}{3c^2}\right) - \frac{b^2}{\Omega_\Lambda}\left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}GH^2}{2\pi}\right), \tag{28}$$

where

$$\Omega_\Lambda = c^2\gamma_c = c^2 + \frac{8\pi GH^2}{3}\left[\alpha\ln\left(\frac{1}{8\pi GH^2}\right) + \beta\right]. \tag{29}$$

Taking time derivative of Eq. (9) and using $\dot{\Omega}_\Lambda = H\Omega'_\Lambda$, one can get the equation of motion for Ω_Λ as

$$\Omega'_\Lambda = -2\Omega_\Lambda\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} + \frac{\dot{L}}{LH} - \frac{\dot{\gamma}_c}{2H\gamma_c}\right), \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \left(\frac{1+\Omega_k}{1+\Omega_k+2\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\Bigg\{&\frac{3}{2}(b^2-1)\Omega_{\rm m} + \frac{\acute{G}}{2G}(1+\Omega_k)\\ &+ \left[\frac{3}{2}b^2 - 2Y - \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right]\Omega_\Lambda\Bigg\} + \Omega_k,\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

and

$$-\frac{\dot{\gamma}_c}{2H\gamma_c} = \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 - \gamma_c + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right). \tag{32}$$

Using Eqs. (9), (17), (31) and (32), one can rewrite (30) as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Omega'_\Lambda}{\Omega_\Lambda} &= -2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k}{1+\Omega_k+2\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}(b^2-1)\Omega_{\rm m} + \frac{\acute{G}}{2G}(1+\Omega_k) + \frac{3}{2}b^2\Omega_\Lambda\right)\\ &\quad -2\left(1 - \frac{(1+\Omega_k)\Omega_\Lambda}{1+\Omega_k+2\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\left[2Y + \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right]\\ &\quad +\frac{\acute{G}}{G} - 2\Omega_k.\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

For completeness, we give the deceleration parameter

$$q = -\frac{\ddot{a}}{aH^2} = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}. \tag{34}$$

When deceleration parameter is combined with the Hubble parameter and the dimensionless density parameters, form a set of useful parameters for the description of the astrophysical observations. Substituting Eq. (31) in (34) gives

$$\begin{aligned}q = \left(\frac{1+\Omega_k}{1+\Omega_k+2\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}\right)\Bigg\{&\frac{3}{2}\Omega_{\rm m} - \frac{3}{2}b^2(1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}) - \frac{\acute{G}}{2G}(1+\Omega_k)\\ &+ \left[2Y + \frac{1}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right]\Omega_\Lambda\Bigg\} - (1+\Omega_k).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

If we set $\tilde{\alpha} = 0 = \Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\varepsilon = \acute{G} = 0$ and $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then from Eq. (10) we have $\gamma_c = 1$ and Eq. (35) reduces to the deceleration parameter of interacting HDE in Einstein gravity [39]

$$q = -\frac{\Omega_\Lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 3b^2)(1 + \Omega_k) - \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^{3/2}}{c} \cos n\left(\sqrt{|k|}y\right). \tag{36}$$

3 Correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE and scalar field models of DE

Here, we suggest a correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE model with the quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton scalar field models in the context of the modified FRW cosmology. To establish this correspondence, we compare the ECHDE density (3) with the corresponding scalar field model density and also equate the equations of state for this models with the EoS parameter given by (25).

3.1 Interacting viscous ECHDE quintessence model

The quintessence scalar filed model of DE was proposed to justify the late-time acceleration of the universe. For the quintessence scalar field ϕ, the energy density and pressure are given by [3]

$$\rho_Q = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \tag{37}$$

$$p_Q = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi), \tag{38}$$

and the EoS parameter is obtained as

$$\omega_Q = \frac{p_Q}{\rho_Q} = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2 - 2V(\phi)}{\dot{\phi}^2 + 2V(\phi)}. \tag{39}$$

Equating Eq. (39) with the EoS parameter (25), $\omega_Q = \omega_\Lambda$, and also equating Eq. (37) with (3), $\rho_Q = \rho_\Lambda$, we have

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = (1 + \omega_\Lambda)\rho_\Lambda, \tag{40}$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \omega_\Lambda)\rho_\Lambda. \tag{41}$$

Substituting Eqs. (3) and (25) into Eqs. (40) and (41), the kinetic energy term and the potential energy of the quintessence scalar filed are obtained as follows

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{3H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{8\pi G}\left[3\varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}Y + \frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right) - b^2\left(\frac{1 + \Omega_k + \Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\right], \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi) = \frac{3H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{16\pi G}\Bigg[&2 - 3\varepsilon - \frac{4}{3}Y - \frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G} - Y\right)\left(1 + \frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right) \\ &+ b^2\left(\frac{1 + \Omega_k + \Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Integrating Eq. (42) with respect to the scale factor a yields the evolutionary form of the quintessence scalar field as

$$\phi(a)-\phi(a_0)=\int_{a_0}^{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a}\left\{\frac{3\Omega_\Lambda}{8\pi G}\left[3\varepsilon+\frac{4}{3}Y+\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.-b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\right]\right\}^{1/2}, \tag{44}$$

where a_0 is the scale factor at the present time.

3.2 Interacting viscous ECHDE tachyon model

It has been suggested that the tachyon scalar field model, in a class of string theories, can act as a source of DE depending upon the form of the tachyon potential. A rolling tachyon has an interesting EoS whose parameter smoothly interpolates between -1 and 0 [40]. This has led to a flurry of attempts being made to construct viable cosmological models using the tachyon as a suitable candidate for the inflation at high energy. For the effective Lagrangian density of the tachyon field as [41]

$$\mathcal{L}=-V(\phi)\sqrt{1+\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi}, \tag{45}$$

the energy density and pressure are given by [41]

$$\rho_T=\frac{V(\phi)}{\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}}, \tag{46}$$

$$p_T=-V(\phi)\sqrt{1-\dot{\phi}^2}, \tag{47}$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the tachyon potential. The EoS parameter of the tachyon scalar field is obtained as

$$\omega_T=\frac{p_T}{\rho_T}=\dot{\phi}^2-1. \tag{48}$$

If we establish the correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE and tachyon DE, then equating Eq. (48) with (25), $\omega_T=\omega_\Lambda$, and also equating Eq. (46) with (3), $\rho_T=\rho_\Lambda$, we obtain

$$\dot{\phi}^2=3\varepsilon+\frac{4}{3}Y+\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)-b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right), \tag{49}$$

$$V(\phi)=\frac{3H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{8\pi G}\left[1-3\varepsilon-\frac{4}{3}Y-\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\right]^{1/2}. \tag{50}$$

From Eq. (49), the evolutionary form of the tachyon scalar field is obtained as

$$\phi(a)-\phi(a_0)=\int_{a_0}^{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{Ha}\left[3\varepsilon+\frac{4}{3}Y+\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.-b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)\right]^{1/2}. \tag{51}$$

3.3 Interacting viscous ECHDE K-essence model

The scalar field model known as K-essence is also used to explain the observed late-time acceleration of the universe. It is well known that K-essence scenarios have attractor-like dynamics, and therefore avoid the fine-tuning of the initial conditions for the scalar field. K-essence is characterized by a scalar field with a non-canonical kinetic energy. The scalar field action of the K-essence is a function of ϕ and $\chi = \dot{\phi}^2/2$ as [42, 43]

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4x\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}}\; p(\phi,\chi), \tag{52}$$

where

$$p(\phi,\chi) = f(\phi)(-\chi+\chi^2), \tag{53}$$

is the pressure density of the K-essence field. Also the energy density of the field ϕ is given by

$$\rho(\phi,\chi) = f(\phi)(-\chi+3\chi^2). \tag{54}$$

The EoS parameter of the K-essence scalar field is obtained as

$$\omega_K = \frac{p(\phi,\chi)}{\rho(\phi,\chi)} = \frac{\chi-1}{3\chi-1}. \tag{55}$$

Equating Eq. (55) with the EoS parameter (25), $\omega_K = \omega_\Lambda$, yields the solution for χ as

$$\chi = \frac{2-3\varepsilon-\frac{4}{3}Y-\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}. \tag{56}$$

Using $\dot{\phi}^2 = 2\chi$ and (56), one can get the evolutionary form of the K-essence scalar field as

$$\phi(a)-\phi(a_0) = \int_{a_0}^{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{Ha}\left[\frac{4-6\varepsilon-\frac{8}{3}Y-\frac{4}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+2b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{57}$$

3.4 Interacting viscous ECHDE dilaton model

The dilaton scalar field model is also an interesting attempt to explain the origin of DE using string theory. This model appears from a four-dimensional effective low-energy string action and includes higher-order kinetic corrections to the tree-level action in low energy effective string theory. For the dilaton DE model, the pressure and energy densities are given by [44]

$$p_D = -\chi + c'e^{\lambda\phi}\chi^2, \tag{58}$$

$$\rho_D = -\chi + 3c'e^{\lambda\phi}\chi^2, \tag{59}$$

where c' and λ are positive constants and $\chi = \dot{\phi}^2/2$. The EoS parameter of the dilaton scalar field is obtained as

$$\omega_D = \frac{p_D}{\rho_D} = \frac{-1+c'e^{\lambda\phi}\chi}{-1+3c'e^{\lambda\phi}\chi}. \tag{60}$$

Equating Eq. (60) with the EoS parameter (25), $\omega_D = \omega_\Lambda$, we find the following solution

$$c'e^{\lambda\phi}\chi = \frac{2-3\varepsilon-\frac{4}{3}Y-\frac{2}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}, \tag{61}$$

then using $\dot{\phi}^2 = 2\chi$, we obtain

$$e^{\frac{\lambda\phi}{2}}\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c'}}\left[\frac{4-6\varepsilon-\frac{8}{3}Y-\frac{4}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+2b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{62}$$

Integrating with respect to a, we get

$$e^{\frac{\lambda\phi(a)}{2}} = e^{\frac{\lambda\phi(a_0)}{2}} + \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{c'}}\int_{a_0}^{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{Ha}\left[\frac{4-6\varepsilon-\frac{8}{3}Y-\frac{4}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+2b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{63}$$

Finally, the evolutionary form of the dilaton scalar field is obtained as

$$\phi(a) = \frac{2}{\lambda}\ln\left\{e^{\frac{\lambda\phi(a_0)}{2}} + \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{c'}}\int_{a_0}^{a}\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{Ha}\left[\frac{4-6\varepsilon-\frac{8}{3}Y-\frac{4}{3\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+2b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}{4-9\varepsilon-4Y-\frac{2}{\gamma_c}\left(\frac{\acute{G}}{2G}-Y\right)\left(1+\frac{8\pi G\alpha H^2\Omega_\Lambda}{3c^4\gamma_c}\right)+3b^2\left(\frac{1+\Omega_k+\Omega_{\tilde{\alpha}}}{\Omega_\Lambda}\right)}\right]^{1/2}\right\}. \tag{64}$$

4 Conclusions

Among various candidates to explain the cosmic accelerated expansion, only the HDE model is based on the entropy-area relation. Note that the entropy-area relation depends on the gravity theory. When applying the curvature corrections to the gravity theory, it yields quantum corrections to the entropy-area relation. The ECHDE density is obtained by adding the correction terms to the HDE density which is motivated from the LQG.

Here, we investigated the entropy-corrected version of the HDE in the framework of modified FRW cosmology. We considered a spatially non-flat universe filled with the interacting viscous ECHDE with DM. Then, we extended our study to the case where the gravitational constant G varies with time. A time-varying G has some theoretical advantages such as alleviating the DM problem [45], the cosmic coincidence problem [46] and the discrepancies in Hubble parameter value [47]. We derived an exact differential equation that determines the evolution of the ECHDE density parameter. Furthermore, we obtained the EoS and deceleration parameters of the interacting viscous ECHDE model.

We also established a correspondence between the interacting viscous ECHDE model and the quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton scalar field models of DE in the modified FRW scenario including time-varying G. We adopted the viewpoint that these scalar field models of DE are effective theories of an underlying theory of DE. Thus, we should be capable of using these scalar field models to mimic the evolving behavior of the ECHDE and reconstructing the scalar field models according to the evolutionary behavior of the ECHDE. Finally, we reconstructed the potentials and the dynamics of these scalar field models which describe quintessence, tachyon, K-essence and dilaton cosmology.

We hope that the future high precision astronomical observations like the type Ia supernovae (SNeIa) surveys, the shift parameter of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) given by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) observations, and the baryon acoustic oscillation (BAO) measurement from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) may be capable of determining the fine property of the interacting viscous ECHDE model in modified FRW cosmology and consequently reveal some significant features of the underlying theory of DE.

Acknowledgements
This work has been supported financially by Department of Physics, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran.

References

[1] A.G. Riess, et al., Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998);
S. Perlmutter, et al., Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999);
P. de Bernardis, et al., Nature **404**, 955 (2000);
S. Perlmutter, et al., Astrophys. J. **598**, 102 (2003).

[2] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rep. **380**, 235 (2003);
P.J.E. Peebles, B. Ratra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003);
M. Li, X.D. Li, S. Wang, Y. Wang, arXiv:1103.5870.

[3] E.J. Copeland, M. Sami, S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006).

[4] G. t Hooft, gr-qc/9310026;
L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).

[5] B. Guberina, R. Horvat, H. Nikolic, JCAP **01**, 012 (2007).

[6] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973);
J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3292 (1974);
J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 287 (1981);
J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1912 (1994);
S.W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975);
S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 191 (1976).

[7] M. Li, X.D. Li, S. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, JCAP **12**, 014 (2009).

[8] M. Li, X.D. Li, S. Wang, X. Zhang, JCAP **06**, 036 (2009).

[9] M. Li, Phys. Lett. B **603**, 1 (2004).

[10] S.D.H. Hsu, Phys. Lett. B **594**, 13 (2004);
K. Enqvist, M.S. Sloth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 221302 (2004);
Q.G. Huang, Y. Gong, JCAP **08**, 006 (2004);
Q.G. Huang, M. Li, JCAP **08**, 013 (2004);
Y. Gong, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 064029 (2004).

[11] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov, P. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103504 (2005);
X. Zhang, F.Q. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 043524 (2005);
B. Guberina, R. Horvat, H. Stefancic, JCAP **05**, 001 (2005);
J.Y. Shen, B. Wang, E. Abdalla, R.K. Su, Phys. Lett. B **609**, 200 (2005);
B. Wang, E. Abdalla, R.K. Su, Phys. Lett. B **611**, 21 (2005).

[12] J.P.B. Almeida, J.G. Pereira, Phys. Lett. B **636**, 75 (2006);
B. Guberina, R. Horvat, H. Nikolic, Phys. Lett. B **636**, 80 (2006);
H. Li, Z.K. Guo, Y.Z. Zhang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 869 (2006);
X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103505 (2006);
X. Zhang, F.Q. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 023502 (2007).

[13] L. Xu, JCAP **09**, 016 (2009);
M. Jamil, M.U. Farooq, M.A. Rashid, Eur. Phys. J. C **61**, 471 (2009);
M. Jamil, M.U. Farooq, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 42 (2010);
A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B **681**, 205 (2009);
A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B **682**, 329 (2010);
A. Sheykhi, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 025007 (2010).

[14] K. Karami, arXiv:1002.0431;
K. Karami, JCAP **01**, 015 (2010);
K. Karami, J. Fehri, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 1118 (2010).

[15] M.R. Banerjee, S.K. Modak, JHEP **05**, 063 (2009);
S.K. Modak, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 167 (2009);
R. Banerjee, S. Gangopadhyay, S.K. Modak, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 181 (2010).

[16] R. Banerjee, B.R. Majhi, Phys. Lett. B **662**, 62 (2008);
R. Banerjee, B.R. Majhi, JHEP **06**, 095 (2008);
J. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 353 (2008).

[17] C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3288 (1996);
A. Ashtekar, J. Baez, A. Corichi, K. Krasnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 904 (1998);
K.A. Meissner, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 5245 (2004);
A.J.M. Medved, E.C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124021 (2004);
A. Ghosh, P. Mitra, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 027502 (2005).

[18] H. Wei, Commun. Theor. Phys. **52**, 743 (2009).

[19] A. Khodam-Mohammadi, M. Malekjani, arXiv:1004.1720;
M.U. Farooq, M.A. Rashid, M. Jamil, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 2334 (2010);
M. Jamil, A. Sheykhi, M.U. Farooq, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **19**, 1831 (2010);
M. Jamil, M.U. Farooq, JCAP **03**, 001 (2010).

[20] K. Karami, M.S. Khaledian, M. Jamil, Phys. Scr. **83**, 025901 (2011).

[21] X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 103505 (2006);
X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B **648**, 1 (2007);
J. Zhang, X. Zhang, H. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 84 (2007);
J. Zhang, X. Zhang, H. Liu, Eur. Phys. J. C **54**, 303 (2008);
X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 103509 (2009);
L.N. Granda, A. Oliveros, Phys. Lett. B **671**, 199 (2009).

[22] K. Karami, J. Fehri, Phys. Lett. B **684**, 61 (2010);
K. Karami, A. Abdolmaleki, Phys. Scr. **81**, 055901 (2010);
M. Jamil, E.N. Saridakis, JCAP **07**, 028 (2010);
A. Sheykhi, M. Jamil, Phys. Lett. B **694**, 284 (2011).

[23] J.P. Wu, D.Z. Ma, Y. Ling, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 152 (2008).

[24] A. Ali, M. Sami, A.A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 123501, (2009).

[25] S. D'Innocenti, et al., Astron. Astrophys. **312**, 345 (1996);
K. Umezu, K. Ichiki, M. Yahiro, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 044010 (2005);
S. Nesseris, L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 103511 (2006).

[26] T. Damour, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1151 (1988).

[27] G.S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1047 (2006).

[28] D.B. Guenther, Phys. Lett. B **498**, 871 (1998).

[29] E. Gaztanaga, et al., Phys. Rev. D **65**, 023506 (2002).

[30] M. Biesiada, B. Malec, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **350**, 644 (2004).

[31] R.G. Cai, L.M. Cao, Y.P. HU, JHEP **08**, 090 (2008).

[32] Q.G. Huang, M. Li, JCAP **08**, 013 (2004).

[33] I. Brevik, O. Gorbunova, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **37**, 2039 (2005);
I. Brevik, O. Gorbunova, Y.A. Shaido, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **14**, 1899 (2005);
I. Brevik, O. Gorbunova, Eur. Phys. J. C **56**, 425 (2008);
I. Brevik, O. Gorbunova, D.S. Gomez, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **42**, 1513 (2010).

[34] W. Zimdahl, D. Pavón, Phys. Lett. B **521**, 133 (2001);
W. Zimdahl, D. Pavón, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **35**, 413 (2003);
L.P. Chimento, A.S. Jakubi, D. Pavón, W. Zimdahl, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 083513 (2003).

[35] O. Bertolami, F. Gil Pedro, M. Le Delliou, Phys. Lett. B **654**, 165 (2007).

[36] D. Pavón, W. Zimdahl, Phys. Lett. B **628**, 206 (2005).

[37] L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 043501 (1999);
L. Amendola, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043511 (2000);
L. Amendola, D. Tocchini-Valentini, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 043509 (2001);
L. Amendola, C. Quercellini, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 023514 (2003).

[38] A. Sheykhi, M.R. Setare, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49**, 2777 (2010).

[39] B. Wang, C.Y. Lin, E. Abdalla, Phys. Lett. B **637**, 357 (2005).

[40] G.W. Gibbons, Phys. Lett. B **537**, 1 (2002).

[41] A. Sen, JHEP **10**, 008 (1999);
E.A. Bergshoeff, et al., JHEP **05**, 009 (2000);
A. Sen, JHEP **04**, 048 (2002);
A. Sen, JHEP **07**, 065 (2002);
T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 021301 (2002);
T. Padmanabhan, T.R. Choudhury, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 081301 (2002).

[42] T. Chiba, T. Okabe, M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 023511 (2000);
C. Armendáriz-Picón, V. Mukhanov, P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4438 (2000);
C. Armendáriz-Picón, V. Mukhanov, P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 103510 (2001).

[43] C. Armendáriz-Picón, T. Damour, V. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 209 (1999);
J. Garriga, V. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B **458**, 219 (1999).

[44] M. Gasperini, F. Piazza, G. Veneziano, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 023508 (2002);
N. Arkani-Hamed, P. Creminelli, S. Mukohyama, M. Zaldarriaga, JCAP **04**, 001 (2004);
E. Elizalde, et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **53**, 447 (2008).

[45] I. Goldman, Phys. Lett. B **281**, 219 (1992).

[46] M. Jamil, F. Rahaman, M. Kalam, Eur. Phys. J. C **60**, 149 (2009);
M. Jamil, E.N. Saridakis, M.R. Setare, Phys. Lett. B **679**, 172 (2009).

[47] O. Bertolami, et al., Phys. Lett. B **311**, 27 (1993).